



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/306,688	05/06/1999		OLIVER T. BAYLEY	INT1P027	3807
21912	7590	08/20/2004		EXAMINER	
VAN PELT		<del></del>	BROWN, VERNAL U		
10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200 CUPERTINO, CA 95014				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ			2635	91
				DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



·			$\wedge$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	09/306,688	BAYLEY ET AL.	ff
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	V
•	Vernal U Brown	2635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	SS
• •	VIS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH	(S) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			,
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	ay 1999.		
·- ·	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,6-9 and 20-28</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-9,20-28</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			age
application from the International Bureau	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Art Unit: 2635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to communication filed on June 1, 2004.

#### Response to Amendment

The examiner has acknowledged the amendment of claims 1, 22, and the cancellation of claims 2-5, 10-19, and 29-30.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's argument regarding claim 1, Armstrong teaches a switch (13) connecting one or more integrated circuits (12b,12a) to an interface for receiving an external stimulus from pressure sensor (17). Armstrong also teaches the position of the switch determines the first and second active response (col. 2 lines 45-54).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1, 6-9, 20-28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Want et al. U.S Patent 6008727 in view of Armstrong U.S Patent 5461385 and further in view of West U.S Patent 5936523.

Regarding claims 1 and 22, Want et al. teaches an interactive radio frequency tag comprising a passive radio frequency transponder (col. 11 lines 16-19), including an

Art Unit: 2635

antenna (158), an interface for receiving external stimulus and integrated circuit (col. 3) lines 10-15) responsive to external stimulus. Want et al. is however silent on teaching a one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to irreversibly change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response in response to an external stimulus and the external stimulus responds to an irreversible change in the material property of a component of the radio frequency tag. Armstrong is also silent on teaching a switch connecting one or more integrated circuits to the interface for receiving an external stimulus based on the position of the switch. Armstrong in an art related RF/ID Transponder System Employing Multiple Transponders And A Sensor invention teaches a transponder having one or more integrated circuit (12b, 12a) responsive to an external stimulus (pressure) to change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response (col. 2 lines 45-54) but is also silent on teaching the external stimulus responds to an irreversible change in the material property of a component of the radio frequency tag. West in an art related tag system teaches a device for detecting environmental condition and the device undergoes irreversible reaction when the device is subject to certain environmental condition (col. 2 lines 20-27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to irreversibly change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active

Art Unit: 2635

response in response to an external stimulus and the external stimulus responds to an irreversible change in the material property of a component of the radio frequency tag in Want et al. as evidenced Armstrong in view of West because Want et al. suggests a transponder with integrated circuit and responsive to external stimulus and Armstrong teaches a transponder having one or more integrated circuit responsive to an external stimulus to change the state of the transponder between a first active state in which the transponder provides a first active response and a second active state in which the transponder provides a second active response in order to provide different information based on the external stimulus. West further teaches a device for detecting environmental condition and the device undergoes irreversible reaction when the device is subject to certain environmental condition.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Want et al teaches an interactive radio frequency tag apparatus comprising of an output device in the form of a light emitting diode which generates a visible signal in (col. 17 lines 8).

Regarding claim 8, Want et al. teaches a radio frequency tag apparatus giving audio or visual indication (col. 12 line 2-3).

Regarding claim 9, Want et al teaches that the output device generates a tactile signal (col. 2 line 54).

Regarding claim 20, Want et al. teaches the use of various environmental sensors including temperature sensors (col. 3 lines 10-17).

Regarding claim 21, Want et al teaches a radio frequency tag apparatus with an output device of a light emitting diode or an audio alert signal output (col. 12 lines 3-4). Speakers are typically used to output an audio alert signal.

Art Unit: 2635

Regarding claim 23 and 24, Want et al is silent on teaching generating a signal to indicate that the state of the radio frequency tag has change. Want et al however teaches using a flashing LED to indicate the reading state of a radio frequency tag (col. 12 line 3). One skill in the art recognizes that a flashing LED provides a visible signal as to the state of the RF tag.

Regarding claim 25, Want et al teaches an audible alert to provide indication of the state of the RF tag.

Regarding claim 26, Want et al teaches a tactile output based on internal state of the RF tag (col. 8. lines 40-41).

Regarding claim 27, Want et al teaches an interface that includes a button (col. 5 line 23).

Regarding claim 28, Want et al teaches a RF tag with an optionally attached sensor (560).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2635

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vernal U Brown whose telephone number is 703-305-3864. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:30 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 703-305-4704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 9, 2004

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Michael Hold